# 1 GUIDELINES 2

# DEPARTMENT OF FOUNDATIONS AND SECONDARY EDUCATION COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN SERVICES THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH FLORIDA

These Guidelines describe the criteria by which faculty within the Department of Foundations and Secondary Education, College of Education and Human Services, The University of North Florida are to be judged on annual performance, for third year review, and for tenure and promotion. No part of this document shall supersede or conflict with the UNF-UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement.

#### I. ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

A. Each faculty member shall be evaluated at least once annually, as set forth in the Collective Bargaining Agreement (hereafter referred to as CBA) between the University of North Florida (hereafter referred to as UNF) and the United Faculty of Florida, UNF Chapter (hereafter referred to as UFF). All such performance evaluations shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions herein and in accordance with Articles 9 and 18 of the CBA.

B. In accordance with Article 18.3(a) of the CBA, each tenure-line faculty member and each Instructor (Article 22) shall be evaluated in each area of his/her assigned duties (teaching, scholarship/research/creative activity, and service) consistent with the following rating categories.

• Far Exceeds Expectations

Exceeds Expectations Meets Expectations

Below Expectations

Unsatisfactory

It is the responsibility of the faculty member to provide sufficient evidence of her or his accomplishments over the previous academic year in each of the assigned areas (i.e., teaching, scholarship/research/creative activity, and service). Instructors must be evaluated in relation to contracted duties. When applicable, Instructors may include in their annual evaluation portfolios any work completed in addition to those contracted duties (namely in the areas of service and scholarship) in order to supplement their portfolio and to provide the Chairperson with a more holistic representation of their accomplishments over the evaluation period

In completing the annual faculty performance evaluation, the Chairperson shall articulate both the sufficiency of evidence and provide specific artifacts/examples to substantiate the rating he or she assigns for each duty.

When assigning a faculty member with a rating of Below Expectations or Unsatisfactory

in any evaluation area (teaching, scholarship, service), the Chairperson will provide the faculty member with specific performance objectives to help guide the latter toward achieving a Meets Expectations rating (or above) in the next performance evaluation cycle.

C. The Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA), which supersedes all department Guidelines, states: "Judgments regarding the quality of a faculty member's performance are complex. They cannot easily be reduced to a quantitative formula, nor can the considerations that must be applied in each individual case be completely described in general terms or by numbers alone, separate from necessary qualitative assessments. On the other hand, faculty members being evaluated must have available to them a description of what tangible accomplishments would normally qualify them for the various evaluative ratings categories references in 18.5 [Article 18.7(a)]. Thus these Guidelines are intended to demonstrate the breadth, scope and type of accomplishments expected for the various rating categories.

Accumulating the number of accomplishments suggested in the Guidelines will not in itself guarantee a particular rating category because determining whether an individual has earned a particular rating category in teaching, scholarship or service will require judgments of both the quantity and quality of the accomplishments. For this reason the Guidelines should be viewed as guideposts to inform individuals undergoing performance evaluation but are not intended as a check sheet that will automatically result in tenure or promotion.

# D. Ratings Structure

## **Far Exceeds Expectations**

The rating of Far Exceeds Expectations is to be reserved for faculty members who have over the prior academic year performed at a level significantly greater than what was expected of them. To achieve a rating of Far Exceeds Expectations, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record during the prior academic year of exceptional performance of his/her assigned duties at a level that is far beyond what is normally expected. A faculty member rated at the Far Exceeds Expectations level consistently performed his/her assigned duties at a level substantially above the Exceeds Expectations requirements for his/her position.

The Chairperson rating a faculty member as Far Exceeds Expectations in any category shall articulate specific facts so as to substantiate the rating. Those facts must be of such a nature so as to sufficiently demonstrate that the faculty member performed at the Far Exceeds Expectations level.

#### **Exceeds Expectations**

To achieve a rating of Exceeds Expectations, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record during the year of high achievement. A faculty member performing at the Exceeds Expectations level must have consistently performed at a level above the Meets Expectations requirements of his/her position.

When rating a faculty member as Exceeds Expectations in any category, the Chairperson shall articulate specific facts so as to substantiate that rating. Those facts must be of such a nature so as to sufficiently demonstrate the faculty member performed at the Exceeds Expectations level.

# **Meets Expectations**

To achieve a rating of Meets Expectations, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record during the year of achievement and performance of his/her assigned duties. A faculty member performing at the Meets Expectations level must consistently have met the minimum requirements for his/her position.

The Chairperson rating a faculty member as Satisfactory in any category shall articulate specific facts so as to substantiate that rating. Those facts must be of such a nature so as to demonstrate that the faculty member performed at a Meets Expectations level.

# **Below Expectations**

To achieve a rating of Below Expectations, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a consistent record during the year of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned duties at the Meets Expectations level. A faculty member performing at a Below Expectations level failed to meet the minimum requirements for his/her position in one or more areas.

The Chairperson rating a faculty member as Below Expectations in any category shall articulate specific facts so as to substantiate that rating. Those facts must be of such a nature so as to demonstrate that the faculty member performed at a Below Expectations level.

The Chairperson rating a faculty member as Below Expectations in any category shall identify specific performance objectives the faculty member must achieve in order to obtain a Meets Expectations rating in the next performance evaluation cycle.

#### Unsatisfactory

 To achieve a rating of Unsatisfactory, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a record of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned duties. A faculty member performing at an Unsatisfactory level failed to meet the minimum requirements for his/her position in one or multiple areas of evaluation. An Unsatisfactory rating also carries with it a demonstrated lack of adequate effort on the part of the faculty member to meet her or his assigned obligations and duties.

The Chairperson rating a faculty member as Unsatisfactory in any category shall articulate specific facts so as to substantiate that rating. Those facts must be of such a nature so as to demonstrate that the faculty member performed at a Below Satisfactory level.

The Chairperson rating a faculty member as Unsatisfactory in any category shall identify

numerous and specific performance objectives the faculty member must achieve in order to obtain a Meets Expectations rating in the next performance evaluation cycle. The Chairperson shall meet with the faculty member to develop a written plan to improve the faculty member's performance, shall document said goals within the faculty member's personnel file, and shall create a plan for periodically reviewing that faculty member's performance throughout the following academic year.

# **Teaching Effectiveness**

147148

149

150

139

140

141

142

143

144145146

The faculty member's annual evaluation must include a list of the courses taught over the evaluation period, the number of students enrolled in each course, and evidence that adequately demonstrates the faculty member's effectiveness at teaching each assigned course. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following:

151152153

154

155

156

157

158159

160

161162

163

164

165

166167

168

169

170 171

172173

174

175

176

177178

179

180

181 182

183

- Well-designed syllabi that clearly show student learning outcome objectives and organized and appropriate course readings and assignments that might logically lead to said objectives
- Demonstrations of effective use of data (*writ large*) to improve classroom instruction or to improve previously taught courses
- Exams, quizzes, and assignments that successfully and fairly measure student learning
- Assignments (homework, papers, projects, etc.) that challenge and instruct
- Sample copies of student work (where appropriate)
- Study guides/notes/overheads/PowerPoint slides and other artifacts related to courses taught
- Evidence of appropriate uses of educational and/or content-related technology
- Evidence of having substantially revised a previously offered course(s)
- Materials relating to the development of a new course or courses
- Evidence of individual or collaborative efforts to revise and improve a course of study or program
- Evidence of effective field-based instructional support and/or supervision
- Detailed description of one's efforts in directing independent studies, service on theses, capstones, or dissertation committees, etc.
- Evidence of development and/or execution of a study abroad program
- Evidence of developing and/or teaching special classes (e.g., Honors courses, Transformational Learning Opportunities (TLO's))
- Having received official awards of undergraduate or graduate teaching
- Having received a grant directly related to one's teaching
- Peer assessments of one's teaching
- Chairperson's observational assessment of one's teaching
- Instructional Satisfaction Questionnaires (ISQs) results
- Efforts to recruit, advise, counsel, and retain program students
- Any other documentation or information the faculty member thinks speak to her or his teaching performance and pedagogical efforts. This may include special circumstances, such as a leave of absence, additional work-related

responsibilities, the nature of the courses taught, team-teaching, school-based teaching, field experiences associated with courses taught, research conducted with students as part of a course, etc.

# **Far Exceeds Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Far Exceeds Expectation for teaching effectiveness, a faculty member must demonstrate that he/she has consistently performed his/her assigned teaching duties at the highest level possible. Further, when awarding the Far Exceeds Expectation rating to a faculty member, the Chairperson should in her/his written evaluation note two or more specific instances such as those listed below (this following list is not exclusive; rather it represents the type of scope and quality of a faculty member's efforts and performance for the rating criteria):

- Having received a university award for excellence in teaching
- Significant energies and research expended in the development of a new course
- Creation of and/or experimenting with a new and effective pedagogical strategy for use within a course or courses
- Having created field-based learning experiences and/or having supervised students in field-based learning (in addition to assigned and "normal" classroom duties)
- Having created a new course delivery format
- Having implemented new learning-assistive technologies into a course
- Having solicited and acted upon feedback on teaching effectiveness—from students, peers, others—to make significant changes to a course or to the pedagogies used within a course or courses
- Having made significant changes to an existing course based upon contemporary research, student feedback, departmental needs, and/or state criteria
- Having collaborated on course content and pedagogy with faculty or content or pedagogical experts from other departments, other colleges, other universities, or educationally based nonprofit entities
- Presenting and/or modeling new pedagogies to departmental, college, or university colleagues and/or to K-12 colleagues
- Consistently strong student feedback (solicited and unsolicited)

#### **Exceeds Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Exceeds Expectations for teaching effectiveness, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of high achievement and distinguish him/herself through an above average performance of his/her assigned teaching duties. The Chair should consider and note at least one of the criteria above.

#### **Meets Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Meets Expectations for teaching effectiveness, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of achievement and performance of his/her assigned teaching duties.

## **Below Expectations**

To achieve a rating of Below Satisfactory for teaching effectiveness, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a consistent record of achievement and/or performance

of his/her assigned teaching duties.

# Unsatisfactory

A rating of Unsatisfactory represents a faculty member who has failed to demonstrate a record of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned duties, or has consistently have failed to meet the minimum requirements for his/her position. A faculty member will receive the rating of Unsatisfactory if the teaching performance component of her/his annual review is incomplete, if there is any attempt to obfuscate or ignore relevant data, and/or if the faculty member does not show any progress in improving the quality of instruction after having received in her/his immediately prior annual review a rating of Below Expectations or Unsatisfactory in the area of teaching. An Unsatisfactory teaching performance may also include: failure to revise courses when necessary, missed or cancelled classes (without reasonable justification), persistent and justified student complaints, erratic classroom behavior, failure to keep minimal office hours, or unprofessional behavior (i.e., behavior that fails to meet the standard set forth in Article 10.3 of the CBA, Academic Responsibility of Faculty Members, regarding teaching).

# **Use of Student Evaluations (ISQs)**

The use of student evaluations in the annual evaluation process is governed by Article 18.4 (a)(1)(m) of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). Student evaluations of teaching, while a useful data point, are insufficient for determining a faculty member's teaching effectiveness. Thus the Chairperson's evaluations of a faculty member's teaching effectiveness shall not be based solely on student evaluations; rather, the Chairperson's evaluation of a faculty member's teaching effectiveness will also take into account all relevant data (including but not exclusive to the data points represented in Article 18.2 and 18.4(a) of the CBA).

#### Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity

As provided in Article 18.4(b) of the CBA, the annual evaluation shall include consideration of the quality and quantity of the faculty member's research/scholarship/creative activity. An evaluation of scholarly productivity should be holistic. Thus the Chairperson's evaluation of a faculty member's scholarship should include both of the quality of the actual publications/presentations completed during the academic year and of the forum(s) in which those works were published or presented. In short, the annual evaluation of scholarly productivity should reflect the department's shared preference for high quality, high impact scholarship over a specific quantity of scholarship. Appropriate scholarly productivity may include but is not limited to:

- Publication of research findings in peer-reviewed scholarly journals related to the faculty member's discipline

Invited publications in scholarly periodicals related to the faculty member's discipline

- Publication of a scholarly book (or the acceptance of an original manuscript)
  related to the faculty member's discipline by a respected/established publishing
  house
  - Publication (or acceptance of a manuscript) of a textbook related to the faculty member's discipline
  - International/national exhibition or performance of creative work (juried or reviewed.)
  - Publication or acceptance of a manuscript for a book chapter in an edited book
  - Publication (or acceptance of a manuscript) in an editorial-reviewed journal outlet
  - Presentation/exhibition or performance at international/national meeting of a professional organization in faculty members discipline
  - Invited or featured speaker or juror at an international/national meeting of respected professional organization
  - State level publications, performances, and exhibitions
  - Dissemination of research findings/scholarly work via local, state, or national media
  - Obtaining external grants for research/scholarly work in the discipline
  - Work in progress of the above nature (works in progress should be given minimal weight until accepted for publication or in press)
  - Some combination of serving as a reviewer of manuscripts for scholarly journals or books in one's discipline; serving as a referee or discussant at a professional conference research articles; published reviews of books, tests, or other educational products; acting as an editor of an appropriate journal or book series. Note: Self-published works may be evaluated only after three years and based upon the number and quality of other works citing it.

#### Far Exceeds Expectations

To obtain a rating of Far Exceeds Expectations, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of high quality scholarly achievement and distinguish him/herself through exceptional performance of his/her assigned Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity duties. Evidence of performance at the Far Exceeds Expectations level may include: at least one publication in a highly selective and widely respected peer-reviewed journal appropriate to the discipline; the publication of a book related to the faculty member's discipline/expertise by a major and respected publishing house; delivering an invited plenary or keynote address at a major national or international conference; receiving a major external grant, research grant, or fellowship (e.g., NEH, NSF, NIH, or research Fulbright); receiving the Outstanding Faculty Scholarship Award from the Faculty Association at UNF.

#### **Exceeds Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Exceeds Expectations, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of high achievement and distinguish him/herself through an above average performance of his/her assigned Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity duties. Evidence of performance at the Exceeds Expectations level may include: publication of scholarly work in respected and selective peer-reviewed journals related to the faculty member's field; publication of a book chapter in an edited book related to the faculty

member's field of expertise; completion of a substantial piece of scholarship/creative work (as evidenced by receipt of a letter acknowledging the submission); submission of a completed major grant proposal (e.g., NEH fellowship, NSF fellowship, NIH fellowship, research Fulbright or similar); the presentation of research findings (paper/ poster presentation) at a peer-reviewed regional, national, or international scholarly conference or professional meeting.

# **Meets Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Meets Expectations, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of achievement and performance of his/her assigned Research/Scholarship/ Creative Activity duties. Evidence of performance at the Meets Expectations level may include: demonstrable evidence of progress in an ongoing research/scholarship/creative activity; demonstrable evidence of progress on a major manuscript or progress in the revision of a manuscript previously submitted for publication; serving as a session discussant at a national or international scholarly conference; presenting research findings at a regional or local scholarly conference; receiving an internal (UNF) research grant.

#### **Below Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Below Expectations, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a consistent record of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity duties. Evidence of performance at the Below Expectations level may include: attendance (but not presentation) at a professional or scholarly conference; organizing or serving as a discussant at a regional or local scholarly conference; showing evidence of the preliminary stages of Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity.

#### Unsatisfactory

To obtain a rating of Unsatisfactory, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a record of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity duties. Performance at the Unsatisfactory level represents the failure of a faculty member to provide any evidence of any Research/Scholarship/Creative Activity. The Unsatisfactory level also suggests a lack of good faith effort on the part of the faculty member to engage in scholarly productivity.

#### Service

As provided in Article 18.4(c) of the CBA, the annual evaluation shall include consideration of the quality and quantity of the faculty member's service activities. The should weigh the depth and breadth of a faculty member's service activities against the faculty member's assigned programmatic duties. The following exemplifies relevant types of service (this list is not exclusive).

- Reporting of program outcomes, reporting of student progress to state agencies, changing academic programs to meet state requirements, etc.
- Having held a major office in the university's Faculty Association
- Having been an officer in the United Faculty of Florida, UNF chapter

- Serving in a leadership role in a professional or scholarly organization
  - Leading or serving on the college's Faculty Assembly/Executive Committee
  - Working on the UFF bargaining team or as a UFF grievance representative
  - Chairing a Faculty Association Standing Committee
  - Chairing a college Standing Committee

367368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377378

379380

381

382 383

384

385

386

387 388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395396

397

398

399400

401

402

403

404 405

406

407

408 409

410

- Contributing as a member of a college or university-wide search committee
- Receiving an internal or external service or related award
- Chairing a committee at the department level
- Active participation on a university, college, or UFF committee
- Serving actively on additional department, college, or university committees (more than one committee at the department, college, and university levels)
- Acting as an external peer reviewer on a tenure and/or promotion dossier
- Sitting on a thesis, capstone, or dissertation committee
- Being an officer in a community organization, board, agency, or commission directly related to one's discipline
- Active membership in a public or community group, board, agency, or commission directly related to one's discipline
- Giving an invited presentation related to one's discipline to a local organization
- Chairing or being discussant for a session at a major academic conference
- Having reviewed national or private agency grants and contracts
- Editing a scholarly journal or academic press
- Reviewing or evaluating articles submitted to a scholarly journal
- Reviewing a book manuscript for an academic press
- Organizing a local, regional, state, national, or international conference
- Leading a meeting/symposium/workshop/session at a local, national, or international conference
- Organizing a cultural activity for the university and/or wider community, directly related to one's discipline
- Other appropriate service

Because the various service activities involve differing degrees of commitment of time and energy, the Chairperson shall consider and weigh these and other factors in determining a faculty member's service productivity.

#### **Far Exceeds Expectations**

To obtain a rating of Far Exceeds Expectations a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of extraordinary achievement and distinguish him/herself through exceptional performance of service activities. Evidence of performance at the Far Exceeds Expectations level must include a substantial and significant commitment of time and energy to one or more of the activities listed above in addition to the expected participation in regular Departmental, college, or university meetings.

**Exceeds Expectations.** To obtain a rating of Exceeds Expectations a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of high achievement and distinguish him/herself through an above average performance of service activities. Evidence of performance at

the level of Exceeds Expectations should include the significant commitment of time and energy to some combination of the activities listed above in addition to the expected participation in regular Departmental, college, or university meetings.

**Meets Expectations.** To obtain a rating of Satisfactory, a faculty member must demonstrate a consistent record of achievement and performance of his/her service activities. Evidence of such Satisfactory performance may include participating fully in Department activities and serving on at least one Departmental, college, university, or UFF committee.

**Below Expectations.** To obtain a rating of Below Expectations, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a consistent record of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned duties. Evidence of performance at the Below Expectations level may include participating only in department activities/roles; having volunteered to serve on department, college, or university committees without having adequately demonstrated due diligence in performing one's duties therein; failing to attend or participate in most department meetings or departmental committee meetings.

**Unsatisfactory:** to obtain a rating of Unsatisfactory, a faculty member must have failed to demonstrate a record of achievement and/or performance of his/her assigned duties or consistently failed to meet the minimum requirements for service activities at noted in Meets Expectations above.